# Grammatically Conditioned Vowel Alternation of Lacid (Lashi) in Kachin State

## SAWADA Hideo

Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa Tokyo University of Foreign Studies sawadah@aa.tufs.ac.jp

# 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 The phenomenon

Lacid ('Lashi' in Jingpho/Burmese, Lèqí' in Chinese) is a Burmish language spoken in Kachin State and Shan State of Burma (Myanmar) and Yunnan Province of China.

In Lacid spoken in Kachin State, some verbs show the alternation in vowel quality. The most striking illustration of the alternation is served by (Realis-) Negative/Positive pairs of verbs, which suggests that the alternation is grammatically conditioned:

(1)  $2\breve{a}-\widetilde{n}itF$  '(He) does not stay.' /  $\widetilde{n}e(z)tF(-taL)$  '(He) stays.'  $2\breve{a}-tsoL$  '(He) did not eat.' / tsO(z)L(-taL) '(He) ate.'

As (:) in the above examples show, vowel lengthening is observed in the (Realis-)Positive verbs in data via elicitation. Vowel lengthening is also observed in the cases where the alternation in vowel quality seems absent.

(2)  $? \breve{a} - k \varrho t F$  '(He) did not do.' /  $k \varrho (z) t F (-taL)$  '(He) did.'  $? \breve{a} - mya \eta F$  '(He) did not see.' /  $mya (z) \eta F (-taL)$  '(He) saw.'

So we might have to treat the alternation in vowel quality as a sub-case of vowel lengthening.

I am still uncertain whether 'lengthened rhymes' are surely distinguish from the corresponding 'unlengthened rhymes' in rapid speech. So in this presentation I treat only the alternation in vowel quality (henceforth AiVQ), and consider its phonological and grammatical environments.

## 1.2 Previous description of vowel alternation in the language

Dai & Li (2007) mentions that Leqi verbs show the alternation between 'short' and 'long' vowels. The 'short'-'long' pairs they listed (p.17) are as follows:

1-1: i-i: i-e: ei/e,i-e:	$\underline{e}-\underline{\epsilon}$ : $\underline{a}-\underline{a}$ : $\underline{a}/\underline{o}-\underline{a}$ : $\underline{u}-\underline{u}$ : $\underline{a}-\underline{a}$ : $\underline{y}-\underline{y}$ :	i-eri ei-eri ei-ari ui-ori
ou-aru <u>i</u> m- <u>i</u> rr	n am–aːm əm–əːm əm–uːm	$\underline{\varepsilon}n-\underline{i}$ :n $\underline{\varepsilon}n-\underline{\varepsilon}$ :n an-a:n $\exists n-u$ :n
en-in an-an on-on a	ມງ–ວະກ <u>u</u> ŋ–uຼະກ ອກ–ອະກ iŋ–ອະກ	ap-arp op-urp
et-ixt at-axt ot-uxt	ak–aːk uk–uːk ə॒k–əːk ik–əːk	e?, $\epsilon$ ?- $\epsilon$ :? $\underline{a}$ ?- $\underline{a}$ :? $u$ ?- $u$ :? $\underline{a}$ ?- $\underline{a}$ :?

The alternation is grammatically conditioned. Environments of 'short'/'long' rhymes they enumerate (pp.19–27) are summarized as below. (**boldface** and roman numbering by SH.)

Predicates:

- When a verb/adjective becomes the predicate of a sentence by itself, it is pronounced as 'long' (i).
- When a verbal predicate takes a cognate object, the predicate is pronounced as 'long' (ii), and the object is pronounced as 'short'.

- When an auxiliary verb and a verb form a complex predicate, both the auxiliary verb (iii) and the verb (iv) are pronounced as 'long'.
- A verb followed by either  $pj\epsilon^{33}$  'PERFECT' or  $a 2^{31}$  'IMPERATIVE' is pronounced as 'short'(, though the 'short-long' distinction seems to be gradually lost before  $pj\epsilon^{33}$ ).
- A (simple) predicate with a negative adverb  $a^{33}$  is pronounced as 'short'.
- In a auxiliary-verb complex accompanied by negative  $a^{33}$ , the auxiliary is pronounced as 'long' (v).

Adverbials: a reduplicated adjective used adverbially is pronounced as 'short'.

*Attributives:* when a reduplicated adjective is used as an attribute to a noun, only **the final element of** reduplication is pronounced as 'long' (vi).

*Complements:* a verb/adjective used as a complement of a predicate is pronounced as 'long' (vii), except that it is followed by  $pj\epsilon^{33}$  'PERFECT'.

In compounding: a verb/adjective morpheme in compound (noun) is pronounced as 'short'.

*In affixation:* when an adjective is prefixed by  $a^{33}$ - or suffixed by  $-tse^{53}$  to derive a noun, the adjective is pronounced as 'short'.

#### 1.3 Phonology and transcription

Syllable Structure C(y)(w)V(C)/QT

C=Consonant, V=Vowel, Q=Voice Quality Feature of Vowel, T=Tone

• Initial=C(y), Rhyme=(w)V(C)

#### ■Consonants

Labial Dental Alveolar Palatal Velar Glottal

Nasal	m		n	$\tilde{n}$	ŋ	
Stop/ unasp. Affricate aspirated	$p \\ ph$	ts tsh	$t \\ th$	$_{ch}^{c}$	$k \ kh$	?
Fricative	f	$\boldsymbol{S}$		$\check{S}$	X	ĥ
Lateral			1			
Flap			r			
Approximant	V			У		

• v- [y](before - $\ddot{e}$ )~[v](before other rhymes)

• Initial clusters with glide -y- my-, py-, phy-, ky-, khy-

Vowels		Front	Central	Васк
	CLOSE	i		u
	MID-CLOSE	e	ë	0
	Mid-open		$\ddot{E}$	0
	Open		a	

• Glide -w- (realized as [-u-]) cooccurs only with i, e, a

■Voice Quality Feature [-creaky](V) vs. [+creaky](V)

• [+creaky] vowels do not cooccur with initials /ph-, tsh-, th-, ch-, kh-, f-, s-, š-, x-, fi-/

Tone Falling(F)21, Low(L)22-33, High(H)44.

# 2 Phonological environment of AiVQ

# 2.1 AiVQ rhymes

(3) -**i**1 [i] -*it*<sub>2</sub> [ɪt]  $-et^2$  [et]  $-e^{1*}$  [e] -*e*? [e?] -en [en] -a?\* [A?] -a [A] -ap [Ap] -at [AIt] *-am* [Am] -an [AIN] -aŋ [Aŋ] (-ai) -**ëk**3 [9k] -ë [9] -**ëŋ**4 [ອŋ] -*Ë\** [3] -*Ëk*<sup>3</sup>\* [3k]  $-\ddot{E}\eta^{4*}$  [3ŋ] -*u* [u–Y] -**uk**5 [ʊk] *-u?* [u?–y?] -*uŋ*<sub>6</sub> [ʊŋ] -ok<sup>5</sup><sub>8</sub> [ouk] -o? [ɔ?] -*oŋ*<sup>6</sup>\* [ouŋ] **-0**7 [0] -ор [ззр] *-ot* [oɪt] -*от* [ззт] *-on* [om]  $-O^{7*}$ [5] -O?<sup>8</sup>\* [au?] -wi9 [ui] -win\* [uin] -wit [uit] -we<sup>9</sup> [ue] -wa\* [uA] -wan\* [uAn]

- $-X_n$  can be AiVQ rhyme, that is, rhyme of a 'basic' form of the alternation.
- -*X*<sup>n</sup> can be rhyme of an 'alternate' form corresponding to the AiVQ rhyme subscripted by the same number as the superscript.
- $-X^*$  can be neither AiVQ rhyme, nor rhyme of a cognate (i.e. not borrowed) common noun.

Below are the examples of each alternation pattern. Lhv.,Zwa.,WB. and OWB. indicate the corresponding Lhaovo, Zaiwa, Written Burmese and Old Written Burmese forms respectively. Zaiwa forms are from Yabu (1982), except those with (ZYYC), which are from Huáng Bù Fán (1992). WB and OWB forms put in braces are transliterated by the system shown in the end of the handout.

1. i / e

(4)	?ă-ŋi $F$ / ŋ $eF$	'to be small'	Lhv. $\eta ayF$ ; Zwa. $\eta eF$ ; WB. cw {ngay'}
	?ă-viL/veL	'to be far'	Lhv. vayL; Zwa. weL; WB. co: {we:}
	?ă-ŋiH/ $\eta eH$	'to burn'	Lhv. $\eta a H$
	?ă-si $F$ / se $F$	'to know'	Lhv. seH; Zwa. seH; WB. သိ {si}

When the initial is either palatal or with glide -*y*-, AiVQ usually does not occur (with a few exceptions). Let us label them as 'Y class'.

(5)	?ă <b>−y</b> iL /yiL	'to laugh'	Lhv. $yiF$ ;	Zwa. w <u>u</u> iL; WB. ရယ် {ray'} /yiL/
	?ă- $ky$ iL / $ky$ iL	'to be large'	Lhv. yiL;	WB. ကြီး {krii:}
	?ă <b>-my</b> <u>i</u> L∕ <b>my</b> <u>i</u> L	'to attain'	Lhv. my <u>i</u> F	; WB. 🕹 {mii} /hmiL/

- 2. it / et
  - (6) ?ă-ñitF / ñetF 'to stay' Lhv. naF; Zwa. ñiF; WB. εş {ne} < OWB. {niy'}</li>
    ?ă-šitL / šetL 'to die' Lhv. šitF; Zwa. šiF; WB. εω {se} < OWB. {siy'}</li>
    ?ă-pyitL / pyetL 'to give' Lhv. pyitL; Zwa. pyiL; WB. εω {pe:} < OWB. {piy'}</li>
    ?ă-myitF/ myetF 'to forget' Lhv. myitH; Zwa. miH; WB. εφ {me.}

cf. ?ă-phyetF/ phyetF 'to cut' Lhv. phyitH; Zwa. phyitH; WB. ဖြတ် {phrat'} WB. ချစ် {khyac'} 'to love' Lhv. citH; Zwa. citH;  $? \breve{a}$ -cetF / cet F3. **ëk** / **Ë**k (7)  $? \breve{a} - p \ddot{e} k F / p \ddot{E} k F$  'to shoot' Lhv. pakF; Zwa. pikL; WB. uo {pac'}  $? \ddot{a} - l \ddot{e} k F / l \ddot{E} k F$  'to weigh' Lhv. lakF; Zwa.(ZYYC) lik<sup>21</sup>( $k \ge 5^5$ )  $2\breve{a}$ -l $\ddot{e}kF$  /  $l\ddot{E}kF$  'to mix; to be dirty' Lhv. lakH ?ă-këkF/ kËkF 'to wrap' Lhv. kakH 4. ëŋ / Ëŋ (8) ?ā-myëŋF/ myËŋF 'to howl, sing' Lhv. myaŋF; Zwa.(ZYYC) mjiŋ<sup>51</sup>; WB. ලිည් {mraN~'} WB. ရှည် {rhaN~'}  $? \breve{a} - \breve{s} \breve{e} \eta L / \breve{s} \breve{E} \eta L$ 'to be long' Lhv.  $xa\eta F$ ; Zwa.  $xi\eta F$ ; Zwa.(ZYYC) ŋxŋ<sup>55</sup>  $? \breve{a} - \eta \ddot{e} \eta H / \eta \ddot{E} \eta H$ 'to be fed up' Lhv.  $\eta a \eta L$ ; WB. ပြည့် {praN~'.}  $2\breve{a}$ -pyë $\eta H$  / py $E\eta H$  'to be full' Lhv.  $pya\eta H$ ; Zwa.  $pyi\eta H$ ; 5. uk / ok (9)  $? \breve{a} - yukF / yokF$ 'to grow' Lhv. yaukF; Zwa. yu?L WB. ကြောက် {krok'} ?ă-kyukF/ kyokF 'to fear' Lhv. kyaukF; Zwa. kyu?L;  $?\check{a}$ - $ky\underline{u}kF/ky\underline{o}\underline{u}kF$  'to dry (vt.)' Lhv.  $ky\underline{a}\underline{u}kH$ ; Zwa.(ZYYC)  $kj\underline{u}$ ?<sup>55</sup>; WB. ရောက် {khrok'} WB. သောက် {sok'} ?ă-šokF / šukF'to drink' Lhv. šaukH; Zwa. šu?H; 6. uŋ / oŋ (10)  $? \breve{a}$ -lun F / lon F'to be hot' Lhv. laugF'to succeed' Lhv. ?auŋF; Zwa. ?uŋF; WB. corce {@ong'} ?ă-?uŋL / ?oŋL Lhv. ?auŋL; Zwa. ?uŋL; WB. ရောင်း {rong':} ?ă-?uŋH / ?oŋH 'to sell' Lhv. thaunL; Zwa. thunL; WB. cost: {thong':}  $2\breve{a}$ -thunH/ thonH 'to pound' 7. o / O (11)  $2\breve{a}$ -loF / lOF 'to come' Lhv. loF; Zwa. loF; WB.  $\infty$  {laa} ?ă-tsoL/ tsOL 'to eat' Lhv. tsoL; Zwa. tsoL; WB. oo: {caa:}  $? \breve{a}-yoH/yOH$  'to get' Lhv. yoH; Zwa. woH; WB. ק {ra}  $? \breve{a}$ -voF / vOF 'to rain' Lhv. voF; Zwa. woF; WB. ရှာ {rwaa} 8. ok / O? (12) ?ă-ŋokF Zwa.  $\eta auF$ ; WB.  $c^{\circ}$  {ngui} / ŋ0?F 'to weep' Lhv.  $\eta u k F$ ; ?ă-chokL / chO?L Zwa. chuiL; WB. ອຸ້ {khyui} 'to be sweet' Lhv. chukF; < OWB. {khyuiw'} ?ă-khyokH/ khyO?H 'to break (vt.)' Lhv. khyukH; Zwa. khyuiL; WB. الله: {khyui:} Lhv. thukH; Zwa. thauH; WB. တို့ {tui.} ?ă-thokH / thO?H 'to touch' 9. wi / we (13)  $2\breve{a}$ -pwiH/ pweH 'to happen; become' Lhv. pøH  $? \ddot{a}$ - $\eta w i H / \eta w e H$  'to be sleepy' Lhv.  $\eta \phi H$ 

## 2.2 Question: do AiVQ rhymes constitute natural class?

From the viewpoint of modern Lacid:

(14)			AiVQ rhymes	non-AiVQ rhymes
	open rhymes		- <i>i</i> (with non-Y class initials), - <i>o</i> , - <i>wi</i>	- <i>i</i> (with Y class initials), - <i>ë</i> , - <i>u</i> , - <i>e</i> , - <i>a</i> -we
		-k	-ëk, -uk, -ok	
		-ŋ	-ëŋ, -uŋ	-aŋ
	closed	- <i>t</i>	-it	-et, -at, <b>-ot</b>
	rhymes	-n		-en, -an, -on
		-p		-ap, -op
		-m		-am, -om
		-?		-u?, -o?

Hypothesis 1: 'Closed AiVQ rhymes are  $i/\ddot{e}/u/o + -k/\eta/t$ .'

 $\rightarrow$  A counterexample -ot exists, and it does not make a natural class. (-t/-n show asymmetry.)

## ■From the viewpoint of PLB

(PLB forms are based on Matisoff(2003), related to Lacid forms via WB forms.)

(15)			AiVQ rhymes	non-AiVQ rhymes
	opon rhymos	-i(<*-i/*-ay, with Lcd. non-Y class),	$-i(<*-i/*-ay, with Lcd. Y class), -\ddot{e}(<*-w \partial y),$	
	open my	ymes	-o<*-a/*-wa, -wi( )</td <td>-u(&lt;*-u), -e(<?), -a(<?), -we(<?)</td></td>	-u(<*-u), -e( ), -a(<?), -we(<?)</td
		*-y	- <i>it</i> (<*-əy)	
		*-w	$-ok(<^*-\partial w)$	
		*-k	- <i>ëk</i> (<*-ik), - <i>uk</i> (<*-uk)	- <i>u</i> ?(<*-wak), - <i>o</i> ?(<*-ak)
	closed	*-ŋ	-ëŋ(<*-iŋ), -uŋ(<*-uŋ)	- <i>aŋ</i> (<*-aŋ/*-waŋ)
	rhymes	*-t		-et, -at, -ot
		*-n		-en, -an, -on
		*-p		-ap, -op
		*-m		-am, -om

Hypothesis 2: 'Closed AiVQ rhymes are those corresponding to PLB  $*i/\partial/u + *-k/\eta/y/w$ .'  $\rightarrow$  A little more natural than hypothesis 1.

As for open rhymes, there still remain the following puzzles.

- -u?(<PLB \*-wak), -o?(<PLB \*-ak), -aŋ(<PLB \*-aŋ/\*-waŋ) are non-AiVQ rhymes, but -o(<PLB \*-a/\*-wa) is an AiVQ rhyme.
- -*uk*(<PLB \*-**u**k), -*uŋ*(<PLB \*-**u**ŋ) are AiVQ rhymes, but -*u*(<PLB \*-**u**) is a non-AiVQ rhyme.

And we still must refer the modern Lacid context, that is, whether the initial belongs to Y class or not.

# 3 Grammatical environment of AiVQ

### 3.1 Comparison to Lhaovo tonal alternation

- Lhaovo tonal alternation (Sawada(2005) etc.)
- (16) Patterns:  $F \rightarrow L$ ;  $L \rightarrow H$ ;  $H \rightarrow H$ (vacuous)

#### Environments:

1. in the final syllable of verb(+auxiliary) which stands as the predicate of positive Realis (Informative) sentences (*Realis environment:* (i),(ii),(iv),(vii) in p.2.)

- 2. in the final syllable of verb(+auxiliary) which stands as the predicate of attributive clauses, or in the nominal head of attributive phrases (*Attributive environment:* (vi) in p.2.)
- 3. in each final syllable of all but the last verb constituting a verb concatenation (*Conjunctive environment:* (iii),(v) in p.2.)
- 4. before instrumental case-marker (Instrumental environment).

Lacid AiVQ occurs in the environments 1.-3. above.

Realis environment

(17)		Lhaovo		Lacid		
	ReaLiS(-positive)	naL	(raH)	$ ilde{n}etF$	(taL)	'(He) stays.'
	(Realis-)NEGative	mă-n $aF$		$?$ ă- $ ilde{n}itF$		'(He) does not stay.'
	IrReaLis	<mă->naF-neŋ</mă->	Η	ă- ñitF-ha?H		'(he) will <not> stay.'</not>
	IMPerative	naF	(fa?F)	$\widetilde{n}itF$	(fie?H)	'Stay!'
	Negative-IMPerative	tă-na $F$		$?$ ă- $\tilde{n}itF$ - $caH$		'Don't stay!'
	HORTative	naF-laŋl	- _	$\tilde{n}itF$ -šaŋ $H$		'Let's stay!'
	OPTative	<mă->naF-šoŋł</mă->	L	$\tilde{n}itF$ -păcaH	I	'May <not> (he) stay!'</not>

• Realis environment is indicated by (R) in glosses of the examples below.

■Attributive environment ((A) in glosses.)

(18) Lhv.	yaŋFguŋL-meŋF	naL	?auL-reF	mauH	kyayF	laukL.	• <i>na</i> <b>F</b> 'stay' > ' <i>na</i> <b>L</b>			
Lcd.	yaŋLguŋF-moL	$ ilde{n}etF$	yo?F-riL	тоH	kyeF	myOL .	• $\tilde{n}itF$ 'stay' > $\tilde{n}etF$			
	Yangon-Loc	stay(A)	time-ACC	job	very	many(R)				
	'When (I) lived in Yangon, I have a lot of jobs.'									
(10) I by	noE( boE) vonI	ahă kh	voli mă l	T	m E n	J	• $la\mathbf{F}$ 'arma' > $la\mathbf{I}$			

(19) Lhv.  $\eta oF(-haF)$  yon L che-khyo H ma-lo L ru F pa L. Lcd.  $\eta oF$   $\tilde{n}an L$  xit L-khyo L  $2\check{a}$ -lo F tsi F se F. I(-top) he here-All not-come(A) NMZR know(R) 'I know that he did not come here.'  $\bullet$  lo F' come' > lo L  $\bullet$  lo F' come' > lo L $\bullet$  lo F' come' > lo L

(20)	Lhv.	?ăñiHn <u>e</u> ?H	cøH*-loL-raH	kyauŋL-suL-pyuF	tă-yaukF
	Lcd.	?ăñiHnabF	cuH*- <b>IOF</b> -taL	kyuŋL-soH-suL	tă-yukF
		yesterday	arrive(&)-come(A)-linker	road-walk-person	one-cL:human
		'A traveler w	ho arrived yesterday.'		

• In Lhv., \* indicates that TA applied vacuously. In Lcd., \* indicates that it is a non-AiVQ rhymes put in the grammatical environment of AiVQ.

■Conjunctive environment ((&) in glosses.)

(21) Lhv. yamF pyo?L-kyoH\*-loH-vaH.
pyo?F 'collapse' > pyo?L; kyoH 'fall'
pyo?F\*-kyOH-loH-pyeL.
pyo?F 'collapse'; kyoH 'fall' > kyOH
house collapse(&)-fall(&)-go-RLZN
'The house has collapsed.'

- (22) Lhv. yon L chě-khyo F mă-yoH\*-liH-kaL kyoH-ke?H. kyoL 'hear' > kyoH
  Lcd. ñan L xitL-khyoL ?ă-yoH\*-riH-kaL kyOL-katF. kyoL 'hear' > kyOL 'hear'
- (23) Lhv.  $t\underline{u}\eta Hp\underline{a}\underline{u}kH \eta\underline{e}^{2}H$ -yěreL mă-paL-kyoL. paF 'know' > paL Lcd. sokHp\underline{u}kF  $\eta\underline{a}pF$ -lokFfie?H ?ă-seF-kyoL. • siF 'know' > seF book read-though not-know(&)-hear 'Though (I) read the book, (I) did not understand.'

#### 3.2 Positing abstract elements triggering alternation

In the analysis of Lhaovo tonal alternation in Sawada (2005), I posited the abstract element TA which triggers tonal alternation and functions as grammtical markers (as well as zero-sentence markers for realisnegative and imperative sentences). I analyze AiVQ in Lacid in the same fashion, positing the abstract element VA.

(17')	Lhaovo		Lacid		
RLS	naF-TA	(raH)	$ ilde{n}itF$ -VA	(taL)	'(He) stays.'
NEG	mă-na $F$ - $\phi$		$?$ ă- $ ilde{n}itF$ - $\phi$		'(He) does not stay.'
IRL	naF-neŋł	Ŧ	ñitF-fia?H		'(he) will stay.'
IMP	$naF$ - $\phi$	(fa ?F)	$ ilde{n}itF$ - $oldsymbol{\phi}$	(fie?H)	'Stay!'
NIMP	∙ tă-naF-ø		$?$ ă- $\tilde{n}itF$ - $caH$		'Don't stay!'
OPT	naF-šoŋL	1	$ ilde{n}itF$ -păca $H$	I	'May <not> (he) stay!'</not>

• Lacid auxiliaries such as -koH 'PLURAL SUBJECT', -pyeL 'REALIZATION', -shiH 'still' come between the verb and Realis marker (=VA) as the corresponding Lhaovo auxiliaries do. Therefore, AiVQ never occurs before auxiliaries.

(19')	Lhv.	ŋoF(-ĥaF)	yoŋL	chĕ-khyoH	тă-loF-ф- <b>ТА</b>	ruF	paF- <b>TA</b> .				
	Lcd.	ŋoF	ñaŋL	xitL-khyoL	?ă-loF-φ-VA	tsiF	siF-VA .				
		I(-тор)	he	here-ALL	not-come-neg-attr	NMZR	know- <b>rls</b>				
	'I know that he did not come here.'										
(18')	Lhv.	yaŋFguŋL-	meŋF	naF- <b>TA-TA</b>	?auL-reF mauH	I kya	yF laukF-	TA			

(18') Lhv. yaŋFguŋL-meŋF naF-TA-TA ?auL-reF mauH kyayF laukF-TA. Lcd. yaŋLguŋF-moL ñitF-VA-VA yo?F-riL moH kyeF myoL-VA. PN-LOC stay-RLS-ATTR time-ACC job very many-RLS 'When (I) lived in Yangon, I have a lot of jobs.'

• The effects of Lhaovo TAs cannot be accumulated. (Sawada(2006)) The same thing also applies to Lacid VAs.

(22')	Lhv.	yoŋL	chĕ-khyoF	тă-yoH- <b>TA</b> -liH-ф-kaL	kyoH-TA-ke?H-TA.				
	Lcd.	ñaŋL	xitL-khyoL	?ă-yoH- <b>VA</b> -r <u>i</u> H-ф-kaL	kyOL-VA-katF-VA.				
		he	here-ALL	not-get-&-come-neg-quor	hear-&-put.in-RLS				
'(I) heard that he could not come here.'									

### 3.3 Question: What is the sources of abstract elements TA/VA?

Dai&Li (2007) argues that Leqi 'long' vowels were introduced as means of compensating the loss of verbal affixes (pp.27–28).

I also think that the common source(s) of TA/VA is/are the lost grammatical form(s), which existed in the stage of their proto language (i.e. proto-Lhaovo-Lacid).

• Shown in 3.1, the environments of the alternations can be reduced to three, (except for idiosyncratic one of instrumental case-marker in Lhaovo), which suggests that the number of lost grammatical form (e.g., affixes) as possibles sources of TA/VA could also be reduced to three at most. There might be homophonous sources (or merely a single source).

cf. WB.  $\hat{m}/\hat{l}iC/ < OWB.$   $\hat{cs} \{e@'\}$  '(positive-)realis', 'genitive'

- Patterns of Lhaovo tonal alternation suggest that the form(s) might have had the tone of highest pitch. (Roughly speaking, TA 'raises' the pitch of preceding syllable.)
- Patterns of Lacid AiVQ suggest that form(s) might have had a relatively wide aperture. (VA at least increases the aperture of vowel of preceding AiVQ rhyme.)

• The problem of this approach might be that we must allow VA to affect the vowel of closed rhymes across their final consonants.

# Abbreviations

& Coordinator of verbs	LOC Case marker: Locative	QUOT Quotation marker
ACC Case marker: Accusative	NEG Sentence marker: Negative	RLS Sentence marker: Positive
ALL Case marker: Allative	Realis Informative	Realis Informative
ATTR Marker of Attributive element	NMZR Nominalizer	RLZN Auxiliary: Realization
cL Classifier noun	PN Proper noun	тор Topic Indicator

#### Reference

Dài Qìngxìa & Lǐ Jié 2007. Lèqíyǔ Yánjiū (The Study of Leqi Language). Beijing: Zhōngyāng Mínzú Dàxué ChūbǎnShè.

- Huáng, Bùfán et.als.(ed.) 1992. Zăngmìan Yŭzú Yŭyān Cíhuì (A Tibeto-Burman Lexicon). Beijing: Zhōngyāng Mínzú Xuéyuàn ChūbǎnShè.
- Matisoff, James A. 2003. Handbook of Proto-Tibeto-Burman, System and Philosophy of Sino-Tibetan Reconstruction (University of California Publications in Linguistics, No.135). Berkeley, Los Angeles, London: University of California Press.
- Nishi, Yoshio 1999. Four papers on Burmese, Toward the history of Burmese (the Myanmar language). Tokyo: ILCAA, Tokyo Univ. of Foreign Studies. 115pp.
- Nishida, Tatsuo 1973. Tosu Yakugo no Kenkyū, Shin-gengo Tosu-go no Kōzō to Keitō (A Study of the Tosu-Chinese Vocabulary Tosu I-yu, The Structure and Lineage of Tosu, a New Language). Kyoto: Shōkadō.
- Sawada, Hideo 2005. "Lhaovo (Maru) Tonal Alternations as Grammatical Markers". Abstract of Thesis, the 38th International Conference of Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics, Xiamen University. pp.117–123.
- Sawada, Hideo 2006. "?ä-prefixation on verbs and auxiliaries in Lhaovo (Maru) Language: non-derivational use". Abstract of Thesis, the 39th International Conference of Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Yabu, Shiro (1982) Atsi-go Kiso Goi-shū (A Classified Dictionary of The Atsi or Zaiwa Language (Sadon Dialect), with Atsi, Japanese and English Indexes) (Asian & African Lexicon, 13), Tokyo: ILCAA, Tokyo Univ. of Foreign Studies, 134pp.

#### Appendix: Sawada's Burmese transliteration system

Consonant letters and symbols					Vowel letters and symbols				
{k} က	{kh} Ə	{g} 0	{gh} ಬ	{ng}C		{i} m	{u} 2		
{c} Ø	{ch} ∞	{j} @	{jh} စု	{N~}ည / {n~} ဥ	{-a} ○	{-i} °ੈ	{-u}		
{T} ဋ	{Th}g	{D}ဍ	{Dh}ບ	{N} CD	{-aa} \ \ \	(ii) م (-ii) م	ڑ {uu} گ دuu}	{e} ⊗	{o} බ {-o} ො
{t} တ	{th} ∞	{d} 3	{dh} ⊖	{n} န	(	( 11) 🗢	( uu)		(-o'}ေ၁
{p} 0	{ph} ଓ	{b} ප	{bh} ဘ	{m} @	Symbols	s partici	pating in	rhyme n	otation
{y} ယ	{r} ရ	{l} လ	{w} 0		rhyme sy	mbols	tone m	arks	vowel killer
{-y}्	{-r} ြိ		{-w} ္ပ		{-Y} ेे	{-M} <b>°</b>	Стеаку {} ु	Heavy {-:} ः	{-'} <sup>\$</sup>
{s} သ	{h} ဟ {-h} ှ	{L}ဠ	{@} 33		superscri {ng^}်ိ	ipt letter			